

# A RESULT ON CLASS- $\mathcal{C}^1$ LINEARIZATION OF CONTRACTIONS IN INFINITE DIMENSIONS.

HILDEBRANDO M. RODRIGUES<sup>†</sup> AND J. SOLA-MORALES<sup>‡</sup>

## 1. MOTIVATION.

This note is a short presentation of our results in [5]. We start explaining, as a motivating example, a situation where a result of  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -linearization in infinite dimensions was needed and used.

In the paper [2] it was proved that for some nonlinearities  $f(x, u)$  and some small values of  $\alpha > 0$  the global attractor of the dynamical system defined in  $H^1(0, \pi) \times L^2(0, \pi)$  by the second order initial-boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} + 2\alpha u_t &= u_{xx} + f(x, u), & 0 < x < \pi \\ u_x(0) &= u_x(\pi) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

is not contained on any finite-dimensional invariant manifold of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$ .

In one of the steps of the proof it was needed to prove that for the case  $f(x, u) \equiv f(u)$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) < 0$  there are only countable many finite-dimensional invariant manifolds of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$  containing the (asymptotically stable) equilibrium point  $(u, u_t) = (0, 0)$ .

This fact was proved by linearization, that is by showing that under an abstract change of variables of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$  in a neighborhood of  $(0, 0) \in H^1(0, \pi) \times L^2(0, \pi)$ , the equation turned into its linear part

$$\begin{aligned} v_{tt} + 2\alpha v_t &= v_{xx} + f'(0)v, & 0 < x < \pi \\ v_x(0) &= v_x(\pi) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>†</sup>Partially supported by Fapesp, CNPq and CAPES/MECD 023/01, Brazil.

<sup>‡</sup>Partially supported by Fapesp, Brazil, and MECD, Spain (projects PB98-0932-C02-01, BFM2000-0962-C02-02 and PHB2001-0052-PC) .

This last equation can be analyzed with detail because it is easily solved with the method of separation of variables:

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{n=+\infty} c_n e^{t\lambda_n} \cos nx$$

with

$$\lambda_{\pm n} = -\alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4f'(0) - 4n^2}.$$

We observe that if  $\alpha^2 < 4|f'(0)|$  then all the eigenvalues are simple, nonreal and all of them have the same real part:  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_n) = -\alpha$  ( $< 0$ ).

The fact that this linear equation has only countable many finite-dimensional invariant manifolds of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$  containing the point  $(v, v_t) = (0, 0)$  is not completely easy to see. The proof uses strongly the fairly non-generic fact that all the eigenvalues are different, but with the same real part. It turns out that these manifolds are in fact the linear subspaces generated by a finite number of pairs of conjugated eigenfunctions.

In any case, it appears as something very natural the need of a linearization result to relate this property for the linear and the nonlinear equations, and also the need of this linearization to be of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$ , in order to preserve the  $\mathcal{C}^1$  manifolds. In particular, it is clear that the well-known result of Lipschitz linearization due to Ch. Pugh [3], valid in infinite-dimensional spaces (even with additional symmetry restrictions, as it is shown in [4]), is not enough to deal with this situation.

## 2. PREVIOUS LINEARIZATION RESULTS.

The precise statement proved in that paper is the following, that deals with the more general case of maps, instead of flows:

**Theorem** ([2]):

*Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a Banach space,  $T = A + \mathcal{X} : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  such that  $A, A^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})$  and  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X})$  and such that  $\mathcal{X}(0) = 0$ . Assume that there exists an  $\eta > 0$  with the following properties*

$$\|A^{-1}\| \|A\|^{1+\eta} < 1 \tag{2.1}$$

$$D\mathcal{X}(x) = o(\|x\|^\eta) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Then, there exists a local map  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^1(X, X)$  with  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $D\phi(x) = o(\|x\|^\eta)$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$  such that, if we define  $R = I + \phi$  then we have

$$RT = AR$$

(or  $RTR^{-1} = A$ ) in a neighborhood of zero.

Observe that inequality (2.1) implies that  $A$  is a contraction. The existence of an equivalent norm in  $\mathbb{X}$  where (2.1) holds is equivalent to the existence of numbers  $0 < \mu < \nu < 1$  such that the spectrum of  $A$ ,  $\sigma(A)$  satisfies  $\sigma(A) \subset \{\mu < |\lambda| < \nu\}$  and that the "non-resonance" condition  $\nu^{1+\eta} < \mu$  holds.

Condition (2.2) holds automatically for every  $\eta < 1$  if  $\mathcal{X}$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$ . It is like a Lipschitz-Hölder condition only at  $x = 0$ . Unlike the global Lipschitz-Hölder conditions it is also meaningful if  $\eta > 1$ , though quite non-generic.

We give also an idea of the proof, to see where the non-resonance condition appears:

We write  $R = A^{-1}RA$ , or

$$\phi(x) = A^{-1}\phi(Ax + \mathcal{X}(x)) + A^{-1}\mathcal{X}(x),$$

a linear non-homogeneous equation in the unknown  $\phi$ . The right hand side will be a contraction in the norm

$$\|\phi\|_\eta = \sup_{0 < \|x\| < \delta} \|x\|^{-\eta} \|D\phi(x)\|$$

for a suitable  $\delta > 0$ .

Let us see that in the (easiest) case  $\mathcal{X} \equiv 0$  the transformation  $\phi(x) \mapsto A^{-1}\phi(Ax)$  is actually a contraction:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|^{-\eta} \|A^{-1}D\phi(Ax)A\| &\leq \\ \|A^{-1}\| \|A\| \frac{\|D\phi(Ax)\|}{\|Ax\|^\eta} \frac{\|Ax\|^\eta}{\|x\|^\eta} &\leq \|A^{-1}\| \|A\|^{1+\eta} \|\phi\|_\eta. \end{aligned}$$

And this is the point where the condition (2.1) appears.

The following older result, perhaps not very well known, showed that the  $\mathcal{C}^1$  linearization of a contraction is always possible in finite dimensions, without non-resonance additional conditions:

**Theorem** (P. Hartman, [1]):

Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  invertible matrix such that  $\|A\| < 1$  and  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$  be such that  $\mathcal{X}(0) = 0$  and  $D\mathcal{X}(0) = 0$ . Then, for the map  $Tx = Ax + \mathcal{X}(x)$  there exists a map  $Rx = x + \phi(x)$  in a neighborhood of zero with  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1+\eta'}$  (with  $0 < \eta'$ ),  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $D\phi(0) = 0$  such that  $RTR^{-1} = A$ .

Hartman's proof is an induction process on the moduli of the eigenvalues of  $A$ . So, at a first sight, could seem hard to extend to the infinite-dimensional case.

### 3. OUR RESULT.

The following is our main result:

**Theorem**([5]):

Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a Banach space such that there exists a  $C^{1,1}$ -function  $\gamma : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\gamma(x) = 1$ ,  $|x| \leq 1/2$ ,  $\gamma(x) = 0$ ,  $|x| \geq 1$ . Assume that  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_1 \times \mathbb{X}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{X}_n$ .

Suppose that  $A, A^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})$  and that  $A = \text{diag}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ , where  $A_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Let  $\mu_i, \nu_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  be such that

$$0 < \mu_n < \nu_n < \mu_{n-1} < \nu_{n-1} < \cdots < \mu_1 < \nu_1 < 1$$

$$\nu_1 \nu_i < \mu_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$|A_i| < \nu_i, \quad |A_i^{-1}| < 1/\mu_i \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

Let  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}(x)$  be a  $C^{1,1}$ -function in a neighborhood of the origin, such that  $\mathcal{X} = 0$ ,  $\partial_x \mathcal{X} = 0$  at  $x = 0$ .

Then, for the map  $Tx = Ax + \mathcal{X}(x)$  there exists a  $C^1$ -map  $Rx = x + \phi(x)$  satisfying  $\phi = 0$ ,  $\partial_x \phi = 0$  at  $x = 0$ , such that  $RTR^{-1} = A$  in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin.

Comments:

i) The Banach spaces that fulfill the first condition are said to be spaces of class  $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ . Hilbert spaces, for example, have this property.

ii) If the set of spectral radii of  $A$ ,  $\sigma r(A) = \{|\lambda| \text{ such that } \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$  has empty interior, for example, one can always make a decomposition of the space into a finite number of invariant subspaces satisfying this non-resonance condition.

iii) Because of ii), our theorem includes Hartman's. It also includes the main cases of [2] (except regularity precisions) as the case  $n = 1$ .

iv) The proof follows the steps of Hartman's, but considering spectral sets (or blocks)  $\mu_i < |\lambda| < \nu_i$  instead of moduli of eigenvalues. We also make more systematic use of fixed points of contractions.

The proof is by induction on the number  $n$  of blocks. The typical induction step can be represented by the case  $n = 3$  as:

With  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , suppose that

$$T(x) = (A_1x_1, A_2x_2 + \mathcal{X}_2(x), A_3x_3 + \mathcal{X}_3(x)).$$

We want to perform a  $\mathcal{C}^1$  change of variables  $R$  such that

$$RT R^{-1}(x) = (A_1x_1, A_2x_2, A_3x_3 + \mathcal{X}'_3(x)).$$

To do that, we see first that after a preliminary change of variables we can suppose that  $\mathcal{X}_2(x_1, 0, 0) \equiv 0$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_3(x_1, 0, 0) \equiv 0$ . This amounts as to obtain an invariant manifold tangent to  $\mathbb{X}_1$ , of the form  $x_2 = x_2(x_1)$ ,  $x_3 = x_3(x_1)$ . It is not difficult to convince oneself that with this change of variables the regularity with respect to the variable  $x_1$  can be reduced to be merely of class  $\mathcal{C}^{1,\beta}$ , for some small  $\beta > 0$ .

Then, as a second step, we need a solution  $\phi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_2$  with  $\phi(x_1, 0, 0) \equiv 0$ ,  $\partial_{(x_2, x_3)}\phi = 0$ , at  $(0, 0, 0)$  of the functional equation  $\phi(x) = A_2^{-1}\phi(A_1x_1, A_2x_2 + \mathcal{X}_2(x), A_3x_3 + \mathcal{X}_3(x)) - A_2^{-1}\mathcal{X}_2(x)$ .

This is expected to be seen as a fixed point of a contraction, at least in the auxiliary semi-norm

$$\|\phi\|_{aux} := \sup \frac{|\partial_{x_1}\phi(x_1, x_2, x_3) - \partial_{x_1}\phi(0, x_2, x_3)|}{|x_1|^\beta(|x_2| + |x_3|)^\eta},$$

for some  $\beta + \eta < 1$ .

In the simplest case  $\mathcal{X}_2 \equiv 0$  and  $\mathcal{X}_3 \equiv 0$  the operator we want to be a contraction is  $K[\phi](x) := A_2^{-1}\phi(A_1x_1, A_2x_2, A_3x_3)$ . In this case, we see the appearance of the non

resonance condition, since one easily obtains that

$$\|K[\phi]\|_{aux} \leq \|A_1\|^{1+\beta} \|A_2^{-1}\| \|A_2\|^\eta \|\phi\|_{aux},$$

so it is a contraction if we choose  $(\beta, \eta)$  near  $(0, 1)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. HARTMAN, *On local homeomorphisms of euclidean spaces*, Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana, Volumen **5** Numero **2**, 220-241 (1960).
- [2] X. MORA AND J. SOLÀ-MORALES, *Existence and non-existence of finite-dimensional globally attracting invariant manifolds in semilinear damped wave equations*, Dynamics of infinite-dimensional systems (Lisbon, 1986), 187-210, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. F: Comput. Systems Sci., **37**, Springer, Berlin-New York, (1987).
- [3] C. C. PUGH, *On a theorem of P. Hartman*, American J. of Math., **91**, 363-367 (1969).
- [4] H.M. RODRIGUES AND J.G. RUAS FILHO *The Hartman-Grobman Theorem for Reversible Systems on Banach Spaces*, Journal on Nonlinear Science, Vol.**7**, 271-280, (1997)
- [5] H.M. RODRIGUES AND J. SOLÀ-MORALES *Linearization of class  $C^1$  for contractions on Banach spaces*. Preprint, 2002.

INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS MATEMÁTICAS E DE COMPUTAÇÃO, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, CAIXA POSTAL 668, 13560-970, SÃO CARLOS, SP, BRAZIL

*E-mail address:* hmr@icmc.sc.usp.br

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTICA APLICADA 1, UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA, AV. DIAGONAL 647, 08028 BARCELONA, SPAIN.

*E-mail address:* jc.sola-morales@upc.es

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTICA APLICADA 1, UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA, AV. DIAGONAL 647, 08028 BARCELONA, SPAIN.

*E-mail address:* jsola@ma1.upc.es